

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-07/304,474 12/06/91 BREENE	
	YUEN, H EXAMINER
ROBERT M. CHIAVIELLO, JR. BAKER & BOTTS	
1000 TRAMMELL CROW CENTER	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
2001 ROSS AVE. DALLAS, TX 75201-2916	13
	ATE MAILED:
This is a communication from the exuminer in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS	
/	
15/6	/9/ _
This application has been examined P Responsive to communication filed on 1	This action is made final.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire month(s), Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 3	taye from the date of this letter. 5 U.S.C. 133
Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:	÷
1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892.	atent Drawing, PTO-948.
3. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449.	nformal Patent Application, Form PTO-152
5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION	
1. Claims (are pending in the application
Of the above, claims 15-2, 26	are withdrawn from consideration
2. Claims	have been cancelled.
s. Claims	are allowed.
4. Claims 1-14 22-25	are rejected.
5. Claims	are objected to.
6. Claims 1-26 are si	
7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acc	ceptable for examination purposes.
8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.	
9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on are acceptable; not acceptable (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing, PT).	Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawing: O-948).
10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on examiner;	nas (have) been · □ approved by the
11. The proposed drawing correction, filed, has been _ approved	; disapproved (see explanation).
12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified copy to been filed in parent application, serial no; filed on	
13. Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	prosecution as to the merits is closed in
14. Other	

Serial No. 804,472

Art Unit 3404

Claims 1-26 are in the case. Claims 15-21 and 26 stand withdrawn from consideration.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 1-14, 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Hadley U.S. Pat. 4,949,652 in view of Kent U.S. Pat. 4,922,841. Hadley shows an injector for blowing waste material into a first combustion chamber 14 and a second combustion chamber 16. Particle separator means 20 and liquid filter means 20-32 for chemically treating the fixed exhaust gases. Kent shows cooler and neutralizer 62 for temperature reduction. Therefore, to provide Hadley (at 32) with a cooler and neutralizer of Kent would have been obvious in order to reduce pollutants. The claimed O₂ rich and deficient combustion stages are well known in the art.

Serial No. 804,472

Art Unit 3404

-3-

Applicant's arguments filed November 12, 1991 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. Broad claims presented are unpatentable over the art. Handley patent clearly shows the mans 20-32 for capturing the particulate matter and chemically treating the same.

PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 344

H. YUEN:1m March 16, 1992 703-308-2125